

European Neighbourhood Watch

Issue 92 • April 2013



Thinking ahead for Europe

CEPS European Neighbourhood Watch monthly newsletter focuses on the EU's relations with its geographical neighbours: those in its midst, those included in the enlargement process, countries covered by the European Neighbourhood Policy and Russia. Each month the newsletter offers a round-up of the previous month's major developments with links to the full text of each corresponding news item, analysis or official document. These links are presented in chronological order. This overview is complemented by an editorial note that focuses on a current development or a more long-term trend pertaining to the EU's relations with its neighbours.

Table of Contents

Editorial: "Enlargement Agenda - Special focus on Macedonia, Serbia and Kosovo"

General

Eastern Partnership

Russia

Southern Neighbourhood

Enlargement

Index of European Neighbourhood Watch Editorials

Editorial

Enlargement Agenda

Special focus on Macedonia, Serbia and Kosovo

April will be an important month in the EU's enlargement agenda, particularly as regards the Balkan countries. In accordance with the December European Council conclusions, the European Commission is expected to present three reports to the member states for consideration, one relating to Kosovo and the other two relating to Serbia and Macedonia.

It is on the basis of the content of these reports that the European Council in June will consider the next steps in moving the enlargement process forward. The reports relating to Serbia and Macedonia will be particularly important in this respect as they will enable the Council to determine whether enough progress has been made for it to decide on setting a date for opening accession negotiations with both countries.

The report on Kosovo will enable the Council to determine whether the time is right to open negotiations for a stabilisation and association agreement (SAA), the first important step on the albeit long road to EU integration. This will be a joint report from both the Commission and the High Representative Catherine Ashton. The latter will focus on the ongoing dialogue between Pristina and Belgrade, a process which, as stated in the December Council conclusions "should gradually result in the normalisation of relations between Kosovo and Serbia with the prospect of both being able to fully exercise their rights and fulfil their responsibilities". The Commission's contribution meanwhile will focus on the reform process. In particular, it will assess the extent to which Kosovo will have met the short-term priorities set out in the Commission's progress report of last October concerning the rule of law, public administration, protection of minorities and trade. Within those priorities, member states will pay special attention to the fight against organised crime and corruption and will need to be convinced that enough is being done in this respect.

A positive assessment overall would enable the Commission to propose negotiating directives for an SAA for adoption by the Council. This would represent a major boost for Kosovo's efforts towards EU integration. And with the accelerating pace of the dialogue between Pristina and Belgrade, the likelihood of a favourable decision by the Council for Serbia would augur well for an equally positive decision for Kosovo.

As with Kosovo, the report to be presented to the Council in relation to Serbia, which was granted candidate status in March of last year, will be a joint one from both the Commission and the High Representative Catherine Ashton. The Commission's contribution will focus on the reforms following on the recommendations contained in the Commission's progress report of last October. It will have convincing arguments which will point to the determined efforts by the Serbian government in promoting reforms, not least in the areas of judicial reform, anti-corruption, anti-discrimination and protection of minorities. Serbia is fortunate to have a strong public administration, which will be a valuable asset in the EU accession process.

However the main focus of attention for the EU member states will be the assessment from the High Representative on the improvement of relations with Kosovo. This will be the key priority for the Council to determine whether accession negotiations with Serbia should commence. The personal engagement of High Representative Ashton

CEPS Neighbourhood Watch Editorial address

CENTRE FOR EUROPEAN POLICY STUDIES (CEPS)

Place du Congrès 1,
B-1000 Brussels, Belgium

phone: +32 2 229 39 11

fax: +32 2 219 41 51

website: www.ceps.eu

e-mail: neighbourhood@ceps.eu *Subscribe!*

Editorial team:

Steven Blockmans and Hrant Kostanyan

in the dialogue between Belgrade and Pristina is a welcome development. While it would be wrong to minimise the difficulties, the fact that the dialogue has already brought together the presidents and the prime ministers from both sides respectively on not just one but several occasions is a positive signal of willingness to move forward. All indications show that at the next round (which will be the eight) of talks between both prime ministers which will take place on April 2nd, the desire on both sides to find pragmatic solutions on the outstanding issues, such as the devolution of powers to the Serb communities particularly in northern Kosovo, will be confirmed, even if neither side for the moment wishes to concede on issues of status. The association of local authorities in Macedonia, which played a major role following the 2001 Ohrid Framework Agreement, could offer a useful precedent in overcoming ethnic tensions by bringing together in one body municipal authorities from different ethnic compositions working for common objectives of economic and social development.

Another positive factor which will help the Council determine its position relates to Serbia's recent efforts to renew its active contribution towards regional cooperation as well as improving relations with its neighbours such as Croatia, whose prime minister visited Serbia recently. These developments are seen as further evidence of Serbia's ambition to move to the next stage of the EU accession process without delay.

Sadly the same cannot be said for Macedonia, which is facing a dramatic political situation. The forcible ejection of not only all the journalists but also of the opposition MPs from the parliamentary assembly chamber on December 24th was a tragic reminder of the continued failure of the Gruevski-led government to engage in any meaningful political dialogue over the past years. It was the opposition's efforts to remove what it perceived as unproductive expenditure (related in large part to the controversial Skopje 2014 project) from the budget that prompted the dramatic events. While the opposition parties are not blameless, the government nevertheless made no attempt to repair the damage or even to stretch out a hand of reconciliation. Instead in early February, it pushed through a change in the parliamentary rules of procedure to limit debate, despite the absence of the opposition parties which continued to boycott parliament following their forced eviction.

These latest developments, and the unwillingness of the government to promote a spirit of compromise, have led to further erosion of basic democratic values and standards in the country, as well as deepening of the mistrust in an already-deeply divided society. The recent violent inter-ethnic clashes in the streets of the capital city (between the ethnic Albanian community comprising over 25% of the population and the majority Macedonian community) have added to the heightened degree of malaise, while the government's refusal to entertain any criticism of its handling of the situation has exacerbated the climate of fear and self-censorship.

This is particularly the case with regard to the government's attitude towards the media which has warranted frequent rebukes from the OSCE representative for the freedom of the media as well as from every international media watchdog. According to the latest world media freedom index, published in January by "reporters without borders", Macedonia was ranked in 116th place out of 179 countries, a drop of 22 places from 2012, and over 70 places from 2009, when it was ranked in 34th place. (The comparable rankings for Serbia are 65th in 2009 and 63rd in 2013). The messages from the EU are invariably distorted by the government-controlled media outlets, while the few independent journalists who persevere are subject to continued intimidation and harassment. This in itself is a shocking indictment of the current government in Macedonia.

With the danger of seeing the country's aspiration to join the EU being derailed completely, Commissioner Štefan Füle, accompanied by the

European Parliament rapporteur for Macedonia, Mr Howitt, and the former European Parliament President Mr Buzek, undertook an eleventh-hour mediation effort on March 1st. (The presence of Mr. Buzek, a senior and respected member of the European Peoples Party to which Gruevski's party is affiliated, in addition to Mr Howitt, a member of the group of the Progressive Alliance of Socialists and Democrats, was no doubt aimed at putting additional pressure on both the governing party and the opposition.)

The agreement reached after many hours of painful discussions has offered a temporary reprieve. It provides for the opposition to end its boycott and participate in the local elections in return for a commitment from the government to launch talks with the opposition after the local elections for the purpose of determining a date for holding early parliamentary election. The latter was one of the main opposition demands (the last elections took place in 2011). The agreement reached also provides for a debate about the state of democracy in the country, as well as the setting up of a commission of enquiry into the December 24th events. Past experience however would tend to suggest that after the local elections have passed, it will take very little for this shaky compromise to come unravelling.

As for the local elections themselves, the first round took place last Sunday (March 24th) in a relatively calm atmosphere, after a campaign which was marred by intimidation and frequent irregularities mainly committed by the VMRO-DPMNE governing party abusing its control over the media and over the public administration. The OSCE/ODIHR electoral observation report issued on March 25th refers to "credible allegations of intimidation and misuse of state resources throughout the campaign". The second round is scheduled for April 7th, although the lack of a level playing field raises serious questions as to the legitimacy of the overall outcome.

Ironically it is the name dispute with Greece that has drawn the most attention in Macedonia's EU accession debate, and has provided a convenient scapegoat for the current government in diverting attention from the serious internal political situation and lack of willingness to engage in any political dialogue.

It is unfortunate that the Commission's 2012 progress report made only passing reference to the need for enhanced political dialogue. Yet in previous years, for example in the 2009 progress report which included a recommendation that a date be set for opening negotiations, or in the report of 2010, the issue of political dialogue was given much greater prominence and always referred to as a key priority. As the events of last Christmas Eve illustrate, the lack of dialogue within the political and institutional system remains a major issue in the country. In this respect, Commissioner Füle's statement on February 15th calling on the political leaders to "take responsibility and find a solution, demonstrating the maturity of the democratic institutions and putting the best interests of the country and its citizens first" is to be welcomed, although it would have had greater impact had it been made several months ago. The high-level accession dialogue, established a year ago, which helped to focus the minds of the government on the critical reforms, represents, as the recent report from the European Affairs Committee of the UK House of Lords highlighted, only a short-term incentive, which is clearly not enough in the current political environment in the country*.

Probably the only way to keep the EU aspirations of the country on track and to prevent it from sinking into further political instability would be for the accession negotiations to start without delay. The intrusive nature of the accession process would ensure better control over the government's errant behaviour, a more consistent performance in meeting the accession criteria and a more effective way of signalling to the government that its deep-rooted nationalist agenda is incompatible with its EU aspirations, let

alone fulfilling the aspirations of its citizens for a more stable future. Greece should be persuaded that political stability in its nearest neighbourhood would better serve its own interests. Allowing negotiations to start would also be an appropriate way of marking the 10th anniversary of the 2003 Thessaloniki summit, which set out a blueprint for EU accession for the Balkan region.

This is a critical time for the EU's enlargement agenda with competing interests at play – between those who suggest that further enlargement is a heavy burden that the EU can ill-afford in the current economic climate, and others who continue to believe that extending the frontiers of peace and security to include the Balkan countries will make the EU a safer place.

To counter the nay-sayers, it will be important for the EU to show that its current strategy continues to deliver dividends, as it certainly does in the case of Kosovo and Serbia; it should also be ready to adapt its strategy where necessary, as in the case of Macedonia, by using whatever leverage it has in a more direct and consistent way and ensuring that its policy objectives and strategy in this area are based on the progress assessment narrative and not the other way around. It should rather use the glaring weaknesses in the reform processes as an argument to convince the member states that opening negotiations is the most effective way to ensure stability as well as greater control over the country's current political leadership. As Commissioner Füle himself stated before the above-mentioned UK House of Lords Committee, a rigorous application of conditionality in the enlargement negotiations would help to restore public confidence in the enlargement process both within the member states as well as in candidate countries.

* House of Lord report on the Enlargement published on 6 March 2013 can be found here: <http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld201213/ldselect/lddeucom/129/129.pdf>.

Erwan Fouéré
Associate Senior Research Fellow

General

Remarks by EU High Representative Catherine Ashton following Foreign Affairs Council
Brussels, 11 March 2013. [Link](#)

Remarks by President Herman Van Rompuy following the European Council
Brussels, 15 March 2013. [Link](#)

Boosting investment in the European Neighbourhood
Brussels, 18 March 2013. [Link](#)

Speech by Commissioner Füle: Presentation of the European Neighbourhood Policy package
Brussels, 20 March 2013. [Link](#)

EU cooperation positively perceived by its neighbours
Brussels, 20 March 2013. [Link](#)

European Neighbourhood Policy in 2012: Continuing engagement for a stronger cooperation with neighbours despite turbulent political and economic conditions
Brussels, 20 March 2013. [Link](#)

Remarks by High Representative Catherine Ashton following the informal meeting of EU Foreign Ministers
Dublin, 23 March 2013. [Link](#)

Eastern Partnership

EU hosts meeting on cooperation with Ukraine and Eastern Partnership
Brussels, 28 February 2013. [Link](#)

Armenia

ENP Country Progress Report 2012 – Armenia
Brussels, 20 March 2013. [Link](#)

Azerbaijan

ENP Country Progress Report 2012 – Azerbaijan

Brussels, 20 March 2013. [Link](#)

Belarus

ENP Package – Belarus

Brussels, 20 March 2013. [Link](#)

Georgia

ENP Country Progress Report 2012 – Georgia

Brussels, 20 March 2013. [Link](#)

Joint Statement by the Spokespersons of High Representative/Vice President Catherine Ashton and Commissioner Štefan Füle on the unanimous adoption of an amendment to Georgia's Constitution

Brussels, 26 March 2013. [Link](#)

Moldova

Joint Statement by EU High Representative Catherine Ashton and Commissioner Štefan Füle on the no-confidence vote taken by the Moldovan Parliament

Brussels, 05 March 2013. [Link](#)

ENP Country Progress Report 2012 – Republic of Moldova

Brussels, 20 March 2013. [Link](#)

Ukraine

Joint Statement by the spokesperson of EU High Representative Catherine Ashton and Commissioner Štefan Füle on political developments in Ukraine

Brussels, 5 March 2013. [Link](#)

Commissioner Füle meets religious leaders from Ukraine

Brussels, 6 March 2013. [Link](#)

EP debate on the situation in Ukraine – Speech by Štefan Füle

Strasbourg, 13 March 2013. [Link](#)

ENP Country Progress Report 2012 – Ukraine

Brussels, 20 March 2013. [Link](#)

Russia

Russia-EU Visa Dialogue

11 March 2013. [Link](#)

European Commission meets Russian Government for executive-to-executive talks

Brussels, 19 March 2013. [Link](#)

Statement by EU High Representative Catherine Ashton on the Magnitsky case in the Russian Federation

Brussels, 20 March 2013. [Link](#)

Speech by President Barroso at the Russia-European Union – Potential for Partnership conference: “Moving into a Partnership of Choice”

Moscow, 21 March 2013. [Link](#)

Statement by President Barroso following the meeting between the European Commission and the Russian Government

Moscow, 22 March 2013. [Link](#)

Southern Neighbourhood

Algeria

ENP Country Progress Report 2012 – Algeria

Brussels, 20 March 2013. [Link](#)

Egypt

Remarks by EU High Representative Catherine Ashton on the current situation in Egypt
Strasbourg, 13 March 2013. [Link](#)

Egypt: no EU-financial support if no progress on democracy, MEPs say
14 March 2013. [Link](#)

ENP Country Progress Report 2012 – Egypt
Brussels, 20 March 2013. [Link](#)

Statement by the spokesperson of EU High Representative Catherine Ashton on recent violence in Egypt
Brussels, 23 March 2013. [Link](#)

Israel

Remarks by President of the European Council Herman Van Rompuy after his meeting with President of the State of Israel Shimon Peres
Brussels, 6 March 2013. [Link](#)

Statement by President Barroso following his meeting with Mr Shimon Peres, President of the State of Israel
Brussels, 7 March 2013. [Link](#)

President Shimon Peres' address to the European Parliament
12 March 2013. [Link](#)

Human rights in the EP: Resolution on Palestinian prisoners in Israel
14 March 2013. [Link](#)

European Commission President Barroso congratulates PM Netanyahu on formation of new government
18 March 2013. [Link](#)

ENP Country Progress Report 2012 – Israel
Brussels, 20 March 2013. [Link](#)

Jordan

ENP Country Progress Report 2012 – Jordan
Brussels, 20 March 2013. [Link](#)

Lebanon

Lebanon: European Commission provides €30m more to deal with refugee crisis
Brussels, 5 March 2013. [Link](#)

Press points by Štefan Füle, Commissioner for Enlargement and Neighbourhood Policy, at the end of his Lebanon visit
Brussels, 5 March 2013. [Link](#)

ENP Country Progress Report 2012 – Lebanon
Brussels, 20 March 2013. [Link](#)

Statement by the spokesperson of EU High Representative Catherine Ashton on attacks by Syrian forces on Lebanese soil
Brussels, 21 March 2013. [Link](#)

Statement by the spokesperson of EU High Representative Catherine Ashton on the resignation of the Prime Minister of Lebanon, Najib Miqati
Brussels, 23 March 2013. [Link](#)

Libya

EU prepares support to border management in Libya
Brussels/Tripoli 6 March 2013. [Link](#)

ENP Country Progress Report 2012 – Libya
Brussels, 20 March 2013. [Link](#)

Morocco

Déclaration du Président Barroso suite à sa rencontre avec M. Abdelilah Benkirane, Chef du gouvernement du Maroc

Rabat, 1 mars 2013. [Link](#)

Trade negotiations EU/Morocco: an opportunity for economic development in the Maghreb

1 March 2013. [Link](#)

ENP Country Progress Report 2012 – Maroc

Brussels, 20 March 2013. [Link](#)

Palestine

The EU and the Netherlands continue to support the PA by contributing €20.8 million to salaries and pensions for February

Jerusalem, 17 March 2013. [Link](#)

Remarks by EU High Representative Catherine Ashton ahead of the meeting Ad Hoc Liaison Committee

Brussels, 19 March 2013. [Link](#)

ENP Country Progress Report 2012 – Palestine

Brussels, 20 March 2013. [Link](#)

Syria

Statement by the spokesperson of EU High Representative Catherine Ashton on the detention of UN peacekeepers by an armed opposition group in Syria

Brussels, 7 March 2013. [Link](#)

Foreign Affairs Council conclusions

Brussels, 11 March 2013. [Link](#)

Statement by EU High Representative Catherine Ashton on the death of Ahmad Shihadeh in Syria

Brussels, 13 March 2013. [Link](#)

Remarks by Catherine Ashton on the situation in Syria

Strasbourg, 13 March 2013. [Link](#)

Commissioner Cecilia Malmström welcomes the announcement by Germany to resettle 5000 Syrian nationals

Brussels, 20 March 2013. [Link](#)

ENP Country Progress Report 2012 – Syria

Brussels, 20 March 2013. [Link](#)

Statement by EU High Representative Catherine Ashton on the attack on the Al-Mazraa mosque, Syria

Brussels, 23 March 2013. [Link](#)

Tunisia

Joint statement by EU High Representative Catherine Ashton and Commissioner Štefan Füle on the new Tunisian government

Brussels, 13 March 2013. [Link](#)

Tunisia: New EU aid to support democratic transition

Brussels, 15 March 2013. [Link](#)

Press points by Štefan Füle, Commissioner for Enlargement and Neighbourhood Policy, at the end of his visit to Tunisia

Tunis/Brussels, 15 March 2013. [Link](#)

EU-Tunisia: message of continued support

Brussels, 15 March 2013. [Link](#)

ENP Country Progress Report 2012 – Tunisia, Bruxelles

Brussels, 20 March 2013. [Link](#)

Enlargement

Speech by Commissioner Štefan Füle – Enlargement in perspective: how do candidate and potential candidate countries perceive accession in the light of the current crisis?

6 March 2013. [Link](#)

Written statement by the President of the European Council Herman Van Rompuy, on the occasion of the signing of the Memorandum of Understanding between Slovenia and Croatia on Ljubljanska Banka

Brussels, 11 March 2013. [Link](#)

Albania

Statement of Commissioner for Enlargement and European Neighbourhood Policy Štefan Füle on Albania

Brussels, 2 March 2013. [Link](#)

2013 budget adjusted for Croatia's entry to the EU

Brussels, 18 March 2013. [Link](#)

Statement by EU High Representative Catherine Ashton on the decision by Albania to offer resettlement to 210 former residents of Camp Ashraf

Brussels, 20 March 2013. [Link](#)

Last Monitoring Report on Croatia's accession preparations

Brussels, 26 March 2013. [Link](#)

Remarks by Štefan Füle, Commissioner for Enlargement and Neighbourhood Policy to the press after the meeting with Croatian Prime Minister Zoran Milanović

Brussels, 26 March 2013. [Link](#)

Bosnia and Herzegovina

Bosnia and Herzegovina: Outstanding issues on the EU agenda

Brussels, 7 March 2013. [Link](#)

Kosovo

Statement by EU High Representative Catherine Ashton at the end of her visit to Pristina in the framework of the EU-facilitated dialogue

Pristina, 14 March 2013. [Link](#)

Bosnia and Herzegovina still falling behind in the region, MEPs warn

21 March 2013. [Link](#)

Address by Commissioner Füle to the National Council on European Integration

Pristina, 19 March 2013. [Link](#)

Croatia

Speech by commissioner Michel Barnier: Croatia: welcome to the single market!

Zagreb, 1 March 2013. [Link](#)

Statement of European Commissioner for Enlargement and Neighbourhood Policy, Štefan Füle to the members of the Foreign Affairs and European Integration committees of the Kosovo Assembly

Pristina/Brussels, 19 March 2013. [Link](#)

Slovenia-Croatia: Commissioner Štefan Füle welcomes deal on Ljubljanska Banka

Brussels, 7 March 2013. [Link](#)

Remarks by Štefan Füle, Commissioner for Enlargement and Neighbourhood Policy to the press during his visit to Kosovo

Pristina/ Brussels, 19 March 2013. [Link](#)

Macedonia

Joint statement of Commissioner for Enlargement and Neighbourhood Policy, Štefan Füle, Rapporteur of the European Parliament on the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, Richard Howitt, and former President of the European Parliament, Jerzy Buzek, on their mission to Skopje

Skopje, 1 March 2013. [Link](#)

Serbia

Statement by High Representative Catherine Ashton after her meeting with Serbian leaders in the context of the EU-facilitated dialogue

Brussels, 11 March 2013. [Link](#)

Statement by High Representative Catherine Ashton after her visit to Belgrade in the framework of the EU-facilitated dialogue

Brussels, 14 March 2013. [Link](#)

Remarks by Štefan Füle, Commissioner for Enlargement and Neighbourhood Policy to the press after the meeting with Serbian President Tomislav Nikolić

Belgrade, 18 March 2013. [Link](#)

Remarks by Štefan Füle, Commissioner for Enlargement and Neighbourhood Policy to the press after the meeting with Serbian Prime Minister Ivica Dačić

Belgrade, 18 March 2013. [Link](#)

Serbia - Kosovo

Statement by EU High Representative Catherine Ashton after the sixth meeting in the framework of the EU-facilitated dialogue

Brussels, 4 March 2013. [Link](#)

Remarks by High Representative Catherine Ashton after the seventh meeting in the framework of the EU-facilitated Dialogue

Brussels, 21 March 2013. [Link](#)

Turkey

EU-Turkey relations: MEPs call for renewed engagement from both sides

21 March 2013. [Link](#)

Joint Statement by EU High Representative Catherine Ashton and Commissioner Štefan Füle on Abdullah Öcalan's call on the PKK to lay down arms and withdraw beyond Turkey's borders

Brussels, 21 March 2013. [Link](#)

Index of European Neighbourhood Watch Editorials

Issue n. 91, March 2013

Tunisia in turmoil: how should the EU react

Issue n. 90, February 2013

Strengthening the strategic choice offered to the EU's southern Mediterranean neighbours

Issue n. 89, January 2013

The EU's External Action towards the Middle East: Resolution required

Issue n. 88, December 2012

Towards a Eurasian Economic Union: Integration and cooperation

Issue n. 87, November 2012

A Nobel Peace Prize to revive the EU enlargement process

Issue n. 86, October 2012

Free and fair? A challenge for the EU as Georgia and Ukraine gear up for elections

Issue n. 85, September 2012

The EEAS and the Eastern Partnership: Let the blame game stop

Issue n. 84, August 2012

Preparing for a post-Assad Syria: What role for the European Union?

Issue n. 83, June 2012

History does not move in straight lines

Issue n. 82, May 2012

Implications of the Eurozone crisis for EU foreign policy - costs and opportunities

Issue n. 81, April 2012

Do the BRICS make a Bloc?

Issue n. 80, March 2012

The Ukraine Question

Issue n. 79, February 2012

Some European comments Putin's foreign and security policy

Issue n. 78, January 2012

Putin's faltering return

Issue n. 77, December 2011

The Arab Spring – Is it a Revolution?

Issue n. 76, November 2011

The Responsibility to Protect and Regime Change

Issue n. 75, October 2011

East goes right, South goes left

Issue n. 74, August-September 2011

The political and legal logic for Palestinian statehood

Issue n. 73, July 2011

The Timoshenko case and the rule of law in Ukraine

Issue n. 72, June 2011

The Arab Regatta – a half year report card

Issue n. 71, May 2011

Review of the Review – of the European Neighbourhood Policy

Issue n. 70, April 2011

Bringing Democracy Support onto the Front-burner

Issue n. 69, March 2011

Interculturalism between the twin hazards of multiculturalism and assimilation

Issue n. 68, February 2011

Time for change: EU trade policy towards the Eastern Partners – The case of Georgia

Issue n. 67, January 2011

Dignity, Democracies and Dynasties

Issue n. 66, December 2010

Time for Justice in Kosovo

Issue n. 65, November 2010

Civil society in the Eastern Partnership

Issue n. 64, October 2010

Rendez-vous with Eastern Europe

Issue n. 63, September 2010

CEPS Neighbourhood Watch Editorial address

CENTRE FOR EUROPEAN POLICY STUDIES (CEPS)

Place du Congrès 1,
B-1000 Brussels, Belgium

website: www.ceps.eu

phone: +32 2 229 39 11

fax: +32 2 219 41 51

e-mail: hrant.kostanyan@ceps.eu *Subscribe!*

Editorial team: Steven Blockmans and Hrant Kostanyan